

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ANTHONY JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, *et al.*,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 24-CV-5776

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of March, 2025, upon consideration of Plaintiff Anthony Johnson's *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 1) it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to mark Johnson's Complaint as "case participant view only."
2. The Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum as follows:
 - a. Insofar as Johnson seeks to overturn the state court custody decision regarding custody of his son, his claims are barred pursuant to the *Rooper-Feldman* doctrine and will be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Johnson will not be granted leave to amend these claims.
 - b. The remainder of Johnson's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

3. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Chad F. Kenney

CHAD F. KENNEY